



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/295,856	04/21/1999	TODD R. COLLART	IACTP005	7668
22887 7:	590 05/02/2003	•		
DISCOVISION ASSOCIATES INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT 2355 MAIN STREET, SUITE 200			EXAMINER	
			RODRIGUEZ, PAUL L	
IRVINE, CA	92014		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2125	
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	36

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s	)			
Office Action Summary		09/295,856	COLLART, T	COLLART, TODD R.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Paul L Rodriguez	. 2125	(V)			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	l		ce address			
Period for Reply							
THE - External after of the control	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howeve within the statutory minimuluil apply and will expire SIX, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed on of thirty (30) days will be considere (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	of this communication.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 A	April 2003 .					
2a)□		is action is non-fina	l.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	tion of Claims	ex parto quayro, re	700 0.5. 11, 400 0.0. 210	•			
4)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21 and 47-81</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 21 and 79-81 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>47-78</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) <u>21 and 47-82</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election red	quirement.				
	tion Papers	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
,	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachme	nt(s)						
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>33</u>	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Papotice of Informal Patent Application her:				

Art Unit: 2125

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The preliminary amendment filed 4/9/03 has been received and considered. Claims 21 and 47-81 are presented for examination.

# **Continued Prosecution Application**

2. The request filed on 4/9/03 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/295,856 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 21 and 79-81, drawn to a method for advertising based on an identifier,
     classified in class 705, subclass 14.
  - II. Claims 47-78, drawn to placing an identifier on an electronic storage medium, using the identifier to permit or deny access to data stored on the electronic storage medium, classified in class 705, subclass 51.
- 4. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions.

Application/Control Number: 09/295,856 Page 3

Art Unit: 2125

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. During a telephone conversation with Caroline Do on 4/25/03 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 47-78. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 21, 79-81 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 47, 48, 52, 59, 60 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Brindze et al (U.S. Pat 5,822,291). The claimed invention reads on Brindze et al as follows.

Art Unit: 2125

Brindze et al discloses (claim 47) a method for providing selective access to data on an electronic storage medium (col. 1 lines 10-13) comprising providing said data for storage on said electronic storage medium (col. 1 lines 61-63, col. 1 line 65 – col. 2 line 1, col. 4 lines 19-21), requesting that an identifier be incorporated on said electronic storage medium in conjunction with said data (col. 1 lines 53-65, col. 3 lines 30-38), wherein said identifier identifies a specific instance of said electronic storage medium (col. 1 lines 58-65, col. 3 lines 58-62, examiner relies upon the definition of the word "instance", defined as any individual, person, act or thing that may be offered to illustrate or explain, a "specific instance" is considered something that specifically defines, examiner considers a "unique serial identifier" as meeting this limitation) wherein said identifier is read when said electronic storage medium is inserted into a device (col. 7 lines 45-52), and said identifier is verified at a separate database (reference number 40, 46, 48, col. 5 line 63 – col. 6 line 4, col. 9 lines 65 – col. 10 line 10), wherein access to said data is precluded upon unsuccessful verification of said identifier (col. 10 lines 54-56, figures 3 and 4, shows that when not authorized access is not permitted), (claim 59) a method for providing selective access to data on an electronic storage medium (col. 1 lines 10-13), comprising receiving data from a source for storage in said electronic storage medium (col. 2 lines 8-14), incorporating an identifier on said electronic storage medium along with said data (col. 2 lines 15-19), wherein said identifier identifies a specific instance of said electronic storage medium (col. 1 line 58-65, col. 3 lines 58-62) and is read upon insertion into a device (col. 7 lines 45-52), wherein said identifier is verified at a separate database (reference number 40, 46, 48, col. 5 line 63 – col. 6 line 4, col. 9 lines 63 – col. 10 line 9), and access to said data is precluded upon unsuccessful verification of said identifier (col. 10 lines 54-56, figures 3 and 4, shows that when not authorized access is not permitted), (claim 48, 60) wherein said electronic storage medium is

Art Unit: 2125

an optical disc (col. 3 lines 26-28) and (claim 52, 64) wherein said data comprises multimedia data (col. 1 lines 10-19, col. 3 lines 20-22).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 49, 50, 53-56, 58, 61, 62, 65-67 and 69-77 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brindze et al (U.S. Pat 5,822,291) in view of EP 0802527 A1.

Brindze et al teaches most all of the instant invention as applied to claims 47, 48, 52, 59, 60 and 64 above. Brindze et al also teaches (claim 53, 65) a system for providing selective access to data on an electronic storage medium (reference number 10, col. 3 lines 20-38, figure 1), wherein said data and said identifier are stored on said electronic storage medium (col. 1 lines 53 – col. 2 line 19), (claim 71) receiving at a server computer (reference number 40) identifier information (reference number 24) read from said electronic storage medium (col. 5 line 63 – col. 5 line 4, figure 4, col. 9 lines 63 – col. 10 line 10), (claim 74) wherein the identifier information is updated and stored in a database (col. 10 lines 10-13, col. 10 lines 21-56, reference number 154 "update memory"), (claim 75) further comprising broadcasting one of the identifier information and the updated identifier information (transmitting is considered broadcasting, col. 7 lines 59-64, col. 11 lines 58-61), (claim 76) further comprising utilizing the identifier information to direct one of an e-commerce transaction and a "buy me" button to a retailer (col. 8 lines 39 – col. 9 line 8, examiner considers "buy me" as anticipated by the

Art Unit: 2125

"enhanced multimedia format" and purchasing) and (claim 78) wherein the logic redirects a consumer to a storefront of a retailer (col. 9 lines 1-5).

Brindze et al fails to teach (claim 49, 55, 61, 67, 73) wherein said identifier is stored on a burst cut area (BCA) of said optical disc, (claims 50) wherein said steps of providing and requesting are directed toward an optical disc replicator, (claim 53) a receiver for incorporating an identifier on said electronic storage medium, a source for providing data to said receiver, (claim 56, 69) wherein said receiver is an optical disc replicator, (claim 56, 59) wherein said receiver is an optical disc replicator, (claim 63) wherein said steps of receiving and incorporating are performed by an optical disc replicator, (claim 65) a source for providing data, a receiver for receiving said data from said source for storage in an electronic storage medium, said receiver producing said electronic storage medium having an identifier incorporated thereon in conjunction with said data (claim 71) transmitting an authorization key upon successful verification of said identifier information and (claim 77) further comprising providing a logic to control access to a web site, the logic being based on the identifier information stored on the BCA.

EP 0802527 A1 teaches (claim 49, 55, 61, 67, 73) wherein said identifier is stored on a burst cut area (BCA) of said optical disc (col. 1 lines 38-46), (claims 50) wherein said steps of providing and requesting are directed toward an optical disc replicator (figure 1, col. 3 lines 16-38, teaches the production of disc with unique ID in the BCA, figure 6 press factory, col. 5 lines 17-38), (claim 53) a receiver for incorporating an identifier on said electronic storage medium (col. 3 lines 16-38, figure 1, receives contents 777 and ID 921 for incorporation on disc), a source for providing data to said receiver (reference number 777 figure 1), wherein said data and said identifier are stored on said electronic storage medium (abstract, figure 1, col. 3 lines 16-38,

Art Unit: 2125

reference number 801 is a disk with BCA), (claim 56, 69) wherein said receiver is an optical disc replicator (figure 1, abstract), (claim 63) wherein said steps of receiving and incorporating are performed by an optical disc replicator (figure 1, col. 3 lines 16-38, figure 6, press factory, col. 5 lines 17-38), (claim 65) a source for providing data (figure 1, reference number 777), a receiver for receiving said data from said source for storage in an electronic storage medium, said receiver producing said electronic storage medium having an identifier incorporated thereon in conjunction with said data (figure 1, col. 3 lines 16-38), (claim 71) transmitting an authorization key upon successful verification of said identifier information (abstract, soft cipher and cipher keys) and (claim 77) further comprising providing a logic to control access to a web site, the logic being based on the identifier information stored on the BCA (col. 8 line 45 – col. 9 line 11).

Brindze et al and EP 0802527 A1 are analogous art because they are both directed toward optical disks that contain identifying information and are both related to securing and accessing data on an optical disk.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the disk reproduction of EP 0802527 A1 in the optical disk access system of Brindze et al because EP 0802527 A1 teaches an improved method and system of identifying individual electronic storage mediums, an improved method of limiting access to an electronic storage medium (col. 1 lines 10-53), a simpler procedure for releasing a cipher of enciphered contents (col. 22 lines 39-43) and improvement of software security (col. 22 lines 44-48).

12. Claims 51 and 62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brindze et al (U.S. Pat 5,822,291) in view of Gotoh et al (U.S. Pat 6,052,465).

Art Unit: 2125

Brindze et al teaches most all of the instant invention as applied to claims 47, 48, 52, 59, 60 and 64 above. Brindze et al fails to teach wherein said steps of providing and requesting are performed by a content provider.

Gotoh et al teaches an optical disc reproduction that incorporates an ID on each optical disk (title, abstract) wherein said steps of providing and requesting are performed by a content provider (figure 1, col. 9 line 66 – col. 10 line 22, software maker is considered the content provider).

Brindze et al and Gotoh et al are analogous art because they are both related to the protection of content on an optical disk.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the content provider of Gotoh et al in the optical disk access system of Brindze et al because Gotoh et al teaches a greatly improved pirated-disk and other illegal duplication prevention capability as compared to the prior art (col. 41 line 31 – col. 42 line 4).

13. Claims 57 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brindze et al (U.S. Pat 5,822,291) in view of EP 0802527 A1 as applied to claims 49, 50, 53-56, 58, 61, 62, 65-67 and 69-77 above, and further in view of Gotoh et al (U.S. Pat 6,052,465).

Brindze et al as modified by EP 0802527 A1 teaches an optical disk access system that reads data from an optical disk that incorporated identification information in a BCA as applied to 49, 50, 53-56, 58, 61, 62, 65-67 and 69-77 for the reasons above. Differing from the invention as recited in claims 57 and 68 in that their combined teaching lacks said steps of providing and requesting are performed by a content provider.

Art Unit: 2125

Gotoh et al teaches an optical disc reproduction that incorporates an ID on each optical disk (title, abstract) wherein said steps of providing and requesting are performed by a content provider (figure 1, col. 9 line 66 – col. 10 line 22, software maker is considered the content provider).

Brindze et al as modified by EP 0802527 A1 and Gotoh et al are analogous art because they are both related to the protection of content on an optical disk.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the content provider of Gotoh et al in the optical disk access system of Brindze et al as modified by EP 0802527 A1 because Gotoh et al teaches a greatly improved pirated-disk and other illegal duplication prevention capability as compared to the prior art (col. 41 line 31 – col. 42 line 4).

## Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Oki et al (U.S. Publication 2002/0032658 A1) – teaches placing an electronic storage medium in a device, contacts a server through a network, the server generates key information for deciphering content, sends the key to the user and the user accesses the information.

Mochizuki (U.S. Pat 6,097,814) – previously cited in an IDS, teaches a method of programming an optical disc with a BCA, reading an ID from the BCA, transmitting ID information to a remote server, determining if the ID is proper, then permitting or prohibiting access to the content on the disc for reproduction.

Oshima et al (U.S. Pat 5,761,301) – teaches the incorporation of a marker on an optical disk for the protection of content.

4/30/03

Fite et al (U.S. Pat 5,513,169) – teaches incorporating a serial number on a CD-ROM, which contains different software programs, selected program and serial number are used to "unlock" the desired program.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul L Rodriguez whose telephone number is (703) 305-7399. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 - 4:30 T-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo P Picard can be reached on (703) 308-0538. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Paul L Rodriguez

Examiner

Art Unit 2125

PLR

April 30, 2003